PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P70625PC00	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/EP2005/051591	International filing date (day/month/year) 11 April 2005 (11.04.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09 April 2004 (09.04.2004)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant O'BRIEN, Conor					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r	nce to the written opinion of eport on patentability (Chapt	the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference er I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications	is report contains indications relating to the following items:			
,,	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
1	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial dexplanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application		
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.3).				
			Date of issuance of this report 11 October 2006 (11.10.2006)		
	The International Bureau of WIPO		Authorized officer		
	34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		Yolaine Cussac		

e-mail: ptl l@wipo.int

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

om t	ne NATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	HORITY	4.0	REC'D 3 0 NOV 2005	
Го:			AP	POWIPO PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
	cant's or agent's file reference form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A		
	ational application No. /EP2005/051591	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.04.2004	
	national Patent Classification (IPC) o B24/00, A63B69/00, G01C22		and IPC		
Appli O'B	cant RIEN, Conor				
1.	This opinion contains indica	ations relating to the fol	llowing items:		
	Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion				
	☐ Box No. II Priority				
	☐ Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention			
	Box No. V Reasoned s applicability	tatement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> ; citations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement	
		uments cited			
		ects in the International ap			
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the internation	onal application		
2.	FURTHER ACTION				
		ional Preliminary Examini	ng Authority ("IPEA"). to be the IPFA and the	ill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority	
		anly together where ann	ronriate with amendit	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to nents, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,	
	For further options, see Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
3.	For further details, see notes	to Form PC1/ISA/220.			
3.	For further details, see notes	to Form PC I/ISA/220.			

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Michels, N

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4025



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/051591

	Box No	o. I Basis of the opinion		
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applicat the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 				
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search or Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: a. type of material: 				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. forn	at of material:		
		in written format		
		in computer readable form		
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3	h C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.		
4	. Additi	onal comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/051591

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims No: Claims

1-14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-14

stop (10)

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:D1: US 6 013 007 A (ROOT ET AL) 11 January 2000 (2000-01-11)

Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (see column 6, line 63 - column 8, line 21, figures 6, 10, 11):

a device for measuring the performance of a subject, the device comprising input means for receiving a measurement of distance travelled by a user in a given time, a processor for calculating parameters from these measurement data, and output means for outputting a measure of an exercise level to a user.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

the processor determins from said values of distance and time an aerobic capacity: and that

the measure of the exercise level is based on the calculated aerobic capacity, wherein the aerobic capacity conforms to the relationship expressed as:

 $VO2max = a + bx + c(x^2)$

wherein VO2max is the maximal oxygen consumption of a user, wherein a, b and c are non-zero constants, and wherein x is a measure of distance per unit time.

2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to determine exercise levels independent from the heart rate of a user.

2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following

reasons. The device calculates the aerobic capacity of the user from a distance travelled by a user in a given time by applying the formula

$$VO2max = a + bx + c(x^2)$$

wherein VO2max is the maximal oxygen consumption of a user, wherein a, b and c are non-zero constants, and wherein x is a measure of distance per unit time. This value of the aerobic capacity is set as parameter of a maximal exercise level to be achieved by the user and the actual exercise level of a user is determined and displayed to a user in function of this maximal exercise level. In D1 neither the solution of claim 1 is indicated to monitor the exercise level in relation to the aerobic capacity in dependance to the above mentioned formula, nor is a hint given pointing to the solution.

- 2.3 Claims 2-5 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- Corresponding independent claims 6 and 7 are related to a method for measuring the aerobic capacity and to a computer program product to enable a computer to measure the aerobic capacity. Therefore, the same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 6 and 7, which therefore is also considered not to be inventive (Article 33(3)PCT).
- 4 Claim 8 is dependent on claim 7 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (see column 6, line 63 column 8, line 21, figures 6, 10, 11):

a system for measuring an exercise level of a user, the system comprising a global positioning system (GPS) module for measuring a geographical location of a user or a speed of a user, and a mobile telecommunications device having

an active communication link, in use, with the GPS module, the mobile telecommunications device being updated regularly with the position of or speed of the GPS module, and the mobile telecommunications device being provided with computer program means for calculating, from said position or speed, an exercise level.

The subject-matter of claim 9 therefore differs from D1 in that the exercise level of the user is calculated in terms of aerobic capacity.

5.1 The subject-matter of claim 9 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the invention according to claim 9 may therefore be regarded as to provide an actual exercise level expressed in proportion to the aerobic capacity independently from the heart rate of a user.

5.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 9 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons. The device calculates the aerobic capacity of the user from a distance travelled by a user in a given time by applying the formula

$$VO2max = a + bx + c(x^2)$$

wherein VO2max is the maximal oxygen consumption of a user, wherein a, b and c are non-zero constants, and wherein x is a measure of distance per unit time. This value of the aerobic capacity is set as parameter of a maximal exercise level to be achieved by the user and the actual exercise level of a user is determined and displayed to a user in function of this maximal exercise level. In D1 neither the solution of claim 9 is indicated to monitor the exercise level in relation to the aerobic capacity in dependance to the above mentioned formula, nor is a hint given pointing to the solution.

5.3 Claims 10 - 12 are dependent on claim 9 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/051591

Corresponding independent claims 13 and 14 are related to a method for measuring an exercise level and to a computer program product to enable a computer to measure an exercise level. Therefore, the same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 6 and 7, which therefore is also considered not to be inventive (Article 33(3)PCT).

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- Independent claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 13 and 14 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.